Every man in the class knew the answer

PROFESSOR HASKINS.

WAS a kindly soul.

BRIGHT on some subjects.

BUT SO absent-minded.

THAT one day at the barber's.

HE took off his collar.

TO get shaved.

AND FORGOT where he was.

AND KEPT right on.

TILL THE lass-girl screamed.

AND a barber stopped him.

HE was a great smoker.

BUT HE'd often put.

THE BURNt match in his mouth.

AND THROW away.

THE CIGARETTE.

HIS STUDENTS loved him.

HE was so full.

OF FUNNY surprises.

ONE DAY he had a tube.

OF RADIUM and he told.

THE STUDENTS all about it.

AND FINALLY, by mistake.

INSTEAD OF the tube.

HE PULLED out one.

OF HIS cigarettes.

AND ASKED the class.

"WHAT is the one thing.

WHICH DISTINGUISHES.

THIS MARVELOUS substance.

FROM ALL others on earth?"

AND THE class roared.

"THEY SATISFY."

In packages of 20 protected by special moisture-proof wrappers. Also in round AIR-TIGHT tins of 50.
FROSH DECIDE GREAT
DOMESTIC PROBLEM

Critic Bennett Finds Little To Censure
But Much To Praise.

Monday, February 28, the first year class had under discussion for its debate the subject, "Resolved, That Private Control of Mineral Resources Shall Be Eliminated." The problem was decided by the judges to have been carried by the affirmative.

In his remarks, summing up the points covered by the debaters, Critic Bennett found little to correct in the manner and delivery of the debaters, but found many favorable features in the efforts of these embryo lawyers. He was forced to commend very highly the ability of Miss Bay, who he said, stood out even in such an evenly matched group of debaters.

For the affirmative were Miss Bray, Miss Barry and Mr. Amsel; for the negative, Miss Yates, Mr. Harry Murray and Mr. Evans.

After the strain of eloquence were over, the strains of old Irish melodies warmed the feet of Miss Kelley, who entertained an admiring audience with various Irish dances. Among the interested onlookers was an out-of-town guest from Yesterville. Prof. Harry A. Hegarty, who had to be dragged away by sheer force.

The subject for the debating, not dancing, tonight, will be: "Resolved, That Federal Control of Railroads Should Be Resumed." The affirmative will be argued by Miss Knoeller, Miss Struck and Mr. Johnson. The negative will be supported by Miss Snow, Miss Wold and Mr. Worshek.

NEW DOLLARS FOR OLD CLOTHES

The honorary dean, who, with Mrs. L. H. Garrels, addressed the classes last week, is managing the rummage sale for the benefit of the Lockwood scholarship fund, has taken a leaf out remembered that Mrs. Partington of Mrs. Partington's book. It will be gone to an auction sale and bought a doorplate with the name of Young on it.

When her husband inquired what use she intended to make of it, she explained they might have a daughter and the daughter might marry a man by the name of Young, and it would then come in handy.

Mrs. Mussey succeeded in selling the silver tea set donated to the sale by Miss Mary O'Toole for $10 last year largely with a "B." The only thing articles in the two sets marked Miss O'Toole can do is to marry a man whose name begins with "B." The rummage sale will come off March 16, 11 and 12 at 1715½ 7th St.

PHASES OF ASSAULT.

(Continued from page two.)

Phases

comply with his request, it would not amount to an assault, for there would be lacking the essential element, 'showing by his acts and conduct an immediate intention to commit a battery.' It may have been, and doubtless was, tempting the rummage sale to make the 'kissing sign' to prosecutrix, as she terms it, or suggest that he would like to kiss her; but this, under the circumstances, did not render him guilty of an assault.

One naturally wonders how a lawsuit could grow out of the facts disclosed in the case last quoted from. A fact not yet stated, however, may throw some light on the matter. It appears that after the defendant had repeated his osculatory demands, the plaintiff said to him, "If that is the best you can do, you had better go home." Here lies a plausible explanation for the defendant's being haled into court. May not the prosecutrix have been actuated by pique and resentment over the extraordinary iniquity of the defendant in the premises?

I wish I was a crow's egg away up in a tree.
I wish there was a little boy as bad as I was bad.
I wish that little boy would come and cull my tree;
Then I would fall and break myself and cover him with me.—Ex.
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A COMPARISON

In this week's issue of The University Hatchet, the students' publication of George Washington University, is a lead article headed as follows: "Students Must Pay Tax or Be Dismissed." George Washington has a voluntary tax of $10 a year, signers of which are admitted to all athletic games free, are mailed a copy of The Hatchet every week and are given free a copy of The Cherry Tree, a handsome annual history of the year's activities of the college, the subscription price of which is $5. In addition to this they are given treatment gratis at the University Hospital for a specified length of time in case of need. Notwithstanding all these privileges, a minority of the students signed the pledge at the beginning of the scholastic year after a vigorous campaign had been waged, the stress being laid on the task of getting in the collections, and about 250 signers of the voluntary tax have to be threatened with dismissal from college because they failed to meet their financial obligations.

The signers of the pledges have taken advantage of the privileges obtained by their signatures and now are attempting to dodge the obligation incurred.

What a different feeling is in effect at Washington College of Law? Here a vast majority of the students signed a voluntary pledge of $10—and some signed for more. The "campaign" speech informing the audience of the purpose of the pledge and its responsibilities. With no advance advertising, no personal canvassing, $7,215 was raised in approximately fifteen minutes. Since then another hundred dollars has been added. And absolutely no financial advantage will be gained by the signers at our College. Their reward comes from the consciousness of becoming more surely a factor in the growth and influence of the institution to which they have given the opportunity to acquire a legal education. Already some of the pledges have been paid in whole or in part. It is safe to say that no one—no, if any such there be—who fails to sign the pledge signed will be dismissed from the roll; but it is equally safe that every student who signed a pledge for a share in the building fund of Washington College of Law will regard this as an "honor" debt and no untruthful activity will be necessary to collect on these pledges.

Washington College of Law needs no comparison with any institution in any respect, and least of all in the loyalty of its students to their pledged word.

PHASES OF ASSAULT AND BATTERY DEFINED BY MANY COURT DECISIONS

Says Law Notes: We are indebted to the London Daily Telegraph for information cabled to that paper by its correspondent concerning an important decision recently rendered by the Imperial Court at Leipzig. It appears that when the German Criminal Code was compiled the law-makers by some oversight neglected to lay down the boundary line between possible and illicit kisses. This deficiency has now been made good by the decision of the Leipzig court. The ruling of that august tribunal is as follows: "A kiss is an operation on the body of another which always requires the permission of the person kissed. Kisses may only be given without special permission when the tacit consent of the other is certain—that is, in the case of close relatives, parents, children and lovers. If, on the other hand, the other not merely affects coyness, but offers serious resistance, it is never to be assumed that the kiss is regarded as an illegal interference with personal rights and an impairment of honor. Whoever, under such circumstances, imposes a kiss on another renders himself, therefore, guilty of insult by the act. For the fulfillment of these conditions it suffices that a kiss is given against the will of the other. It is not necessary that he himself feels the kiss to be insulting." So much for the German law on the subject. Our own courts have not been wholly silent upon this important question. For example, in Chamblen v. State, 66 Tex. 1, 73 S. W. 577, wherein the prosecutrix stated that the appellant asked him to kiss her, and on her refusal he reached his hands out as if to grasp her, and she jumped out of the door, the court held, might constitute an assault, under the authorities. "That is," says the court, "appellant evidently had the ability of committing a battery on prosecutrix, and if, without her consent, he attempted, by the use of force, to make her kiss him, and she fled to prevent this, then there would be an assault. If, on the other hand, appellant reasonably believed, under the circumstances, that prosecutrix would object to kiss him, and that he attempted to kiss her by consent, and did not intend to use force to compel her to kiss him, then it would not be an assault. In Puller v. State, 44 Tex. Cr. 463, 73 S. W. 184, 100 Am. St. 871, it was held for the defendant that if a man makes a kissing sign at a woman by pucker up her lips and smacking them, without showing any intent to lay hands on her and kiss her without her consent, he is not guilty of an assault. "An assault," said the court, "is defined to be anything to attempt to commit a battery, or any threatening gesture, or any threatening posture, showing in itself, or by words accompanying it, an immediate intention,

THE $1,000 CLUB OF WASHINGTON COLLEGE OF LAW

I, in consideration of other contributions, hereby pledge to pay Dollars to The Washington College of Law on or before December 31, 1921, for share in the One Thousand Dollar Club, at Ten Dollars ($10) per share.

It is understood this pledge may be paid in installments at the option of the pledgee.

(Signed)
Date
Address

EXCELLENT ENGRAVING

For school, business and social functions of conventional or special character.

Maximum quality at minimum cost, always.

SCHOOL SUPPLIES

"Headquarters" many years for kindergarten, grade, high-school, preparatory, college, and university students.

Whatever you require will most likely be here—at a reasonable price

R. P. ANDREWS PAPER CO.

727-731 Thirteenth Street
WASHINGTON, D. C.
Branches: Norfolk, Va.—York, Pa.

ANY tobacco—any tobacco—tastes better in a W & C Pipe. Our own specially seasoned and carefully selected French briar makes it so. Add to this the superfine craftsmanship of the Demuth workers, and you'll not wonder that we claim pre-eminence in the quality of our pipes. Ask any good dealer.

WM. DEMUTH & CO., NEW YORK
WORLD'S LARGEST MAKERS OF FINE PIPES
Spirits of Meeting of Friday, Feb. '25, Proves To Be Lasting. 
Hear From Alumni.
The wonderful response of the undergraduates present at the meet-
ing Friday evening, February 25, at which $1,315 was pledged toward 
paying off the mortgage on the Col-
lege from the faculty in appreciation 
feeling, as is proved by the fact that 
every day this past week some student who was absent at that 
time stepped into the office of 
the secretary to sign a pledge for 
the ‘$1,000 Club.’
The plan evolved by the Lambda 
Sigma Chi Fraternity by which the 
non-salary income of the college 
and small parties, has been eliminated did not depend upon mere spontaneous en-
thusiasm, but upon the careful planning and thought which went into the plan appeals so thoroughly to those who have the real welfare of the College at heart that it is impossible to 
not a needful one. The automation 
in which the undergraduates indulred the idea of a deferred method of selecting the institution of which they are an integral part. The plan is not a 
creation leaves no room for doubt that the “$1,000 Club” idea to their minds was 
the financial problem of the College. 

Meanwhile, the classes in regard to class subscriptions remains 
as it was last week, each class uniting in protest at being 
equaling those of the Seniors. 
The Judgers made quite a substantial gain, but the lead of the other two classes 
was a bit too great to allow this class 
any chance for the lead in this contest.
The pride in the morale of the stu-
dents is heartening, and the Der-
nett was made formal announcements 
to the classes Wednesday even-
ing, which were largely responsible for the generous response of the under-
graduates last weekend.

“Though the only knowledge of 
the plan I had was that I was asked 
whether or not such a plan could be 
permitted by the faculty,” she said, “I 
feel as though such a demonstration of 
generosity among the student body of 
College cannot be passed by without a 
word of thanks and appreciation from 
thereof. This whole-hearted action of 
the students will enable the faculty to 
carry on many progressive ideas that 
will allow Washington College of 
Iowa to keep her place in the forefront 
the institutions teaching law in the 
District of Columbia, and I am proud 
of the students here.”

Those whose subscriptions 
who have responded this last week 
are: Class of 1932, Grace B. 
Kneller and Maud Hamilton; Class of 
1927, Helen H. Elgin, E. H. Paust-
man, Elsa Job, Ottilia Bettschah and 
G. F. Van Winkle; Class of 1931, 
George W. Laird and Grace W. 
Dages; Alumni, Mary E. Laird, 1917;

PLANS FOR BANQUET 
TAKING ON SHAPE
Night of April 9 To Be Gala 
Event; Juniors on Mettle 
To Give Real Surprise.

It has been the history of the College that each year the annual 
banquet precedes the preceding year’s event in splendor and inter-
est, and this year the precedent will be maintained if the work of the 
Junior Class is to receive the 
ward it merits.

Subdued excitement is the pre-
valent element of the meeting of 
the various members of the class 
who are officially connected with 
the banquet plans, and there 
is noticeable air of mystery and a 
surprise in the atmosphere. These 
tales of marvelous plans in the process 
of formation.

When Speaker Safford, of the Junior 
Class, who ordinarily is not bashful, 
was approached on this subject, he 
acted the part of Spoleto. It is 
thing definite about it,” but it was 
early apparent that it was the desire of his members to keep the plan a 
He did intimate, however, that the ar-
rangement are rapidly taking shape, and 
that the night of the annual ban-
quet of Washington College of 
Law would bring interesting developments.

Last year the banquet was held at 
Lenexa in the M. E. Church, 
Gomme and L. Street, and the accommo-
dations were so sparse that arrangements 
which have been made for this 
traditional affair held here this year. 
April 9th has been selected as the 
date. As April 9 falls on Satu-
reday night, the incident took place 
end at 12 o’clock. Information 
has been gained, however, that each 
car completes the operation for whatever stunt may be chosen, 
complete with the question of having the speeches, playlets, songs, and so forth, 
and thus there will be plenty of time 
for the students who enter. Some will 
the Paul Jones and the fox trot or 
the conception.

Of course, even at a banquet, the 
menu (otherwise known as the “eats”) 
will be the event of the evening, and 
ed upon to arrange a choice of edibles 
that will tempt the most fastidious 
taste. All is not April 9th is destined 
to be the night that will live long 
in the history of the College.

GETS NOTARIAL SEAL.
Theodore P. Willis, of the Post 
Graduate Society, has become a 
notary public. His office is at 759 
Mun-

LOCKWOOD SCHOLARSHIP FUND?
Previous request... $461.55
White elephant sale... 25

Total to date... $472.10

Elizabeth C. Harris, 1917; Leopold V. 
Fowles, 1915.

Although the pledges are not due 
until December 31, 1932, the early psy-
chological “kick” which Col. 
C. C. Lockwood, Jr., gave as the 
acre of the National Sav-
ings and Loan Association, will gen-
le to lock out the notes at its 
continuance, thus permitting a saving 
of interest charges. The saving thus 
effectuated can be used in furthering the 
progressive plans the faculty have 
under advisement.

TWO MORE SESSIONS 
FOR PRACTICE COURT
March 24 Final Night; No Court 
March 17; Calendar 
Almost Completed.

With only two more sessions of the Practice Court, the Calendar is now 
beginning to wind up with the impending 
examinations exercises last Friday 
could not keep the eager counsel from 
the practice lists. The two long nights 
so earnestly are they working to get 
all the practice possible before the real 
eclips with real cases (and, perhaps, 
real money) seek their valuable ad-
vise. The record of the evening’s work 
shows that it has been fruitful.

Judge Hegarty’s court failed to write 
a report of the proceedings in that trial 
case, however, and were faithfully re-
ported.

Judge Smith’s Court. 
(Anna Boyle, Court Reporter).
No. 45, Herring v. Chen; et al., for 
plaintiffs; Robins and Marsiglia; for 
Brutus H. Wadley, in civil suit. While the sun was 
shining, but in the evening he blazed 
through the hall. The janitor of the Gim-
thusian member of the Song and 
Daughter’s Society. I will arrogantly state, 
it was his enthusiasm which made all 
the trouble. At the regular July til 
the organizers were on the scene, 
ning on the floor, and those few 
left were seen hip, hip, whoop, and 
entilate Florian Schappell (Miss Smith) 
was elucidating. Florian objected, 
though, and they refused to remove their 
that followed Sergeant-Arms Chase, 
was able to fill the lodge. Britus resented 
and somehow Brutus was gently bu-
cooch on the head with his 
heavy mace of office. The 
and broke an ice cream cone. 
during the four weeks’ vacation in 
the court, to which he was 
shot, but with the 
the happy thought of consulting an at-
orney when by some 
Robins advised him to see Mr. 
Chew.

During the cross-examination of 
Johnson, it was revealed that 
Robins rose to such heights of ecstasy 
when he succeeded in producing some 
fascinating evidence that 
were then in 
that the witness 
be able to use his 
the clerk. In the 
crew poured out on 
watered by letting the lady have 
her way. The verdict was rendered 
for the plaintiff, and $100 damages 
awarded.

No. 36, U. S. v. Seligman; for plain-
tiff, MCY and Brown; for defendant 
Schiebel and Thompson.
This controversy arose when 
Seligman (Franklin) sold a 
and willing customer (Mr. Budlong) 
a handsome suit costing 25 Gsp Ameri-
cans. The suit was purchased by 
itself to be a very good imitation of 
the real thing and not worth more 
$13.35.

The complaining pathetically testi-
ified that the first rain storm to which 
he introduced said suit caused him 
much anguish. The beautiful wool 
began to cut capers and it shivered 
in one direction and spread out in an