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ABSTRACT

Bacterial resistance to antibiotic treatment has become a major problem over the years originating from penicillin binding proteins, efflux pumps and the major contributor, β-lactamases. Therefore, a need arises for developing new antimicrobial drugs, with a different mechanism of action, in-order to delay further bacterial resistance. Examples of such compounds prepared in our research group comprise of monocyclic β-lactams with distinct substituent groups attached to an aromatic thiol, at the C4 of the β-lactam. These compounds, especially fluorinated thiophenols in the ortho position with the addition of benzyl isocyanate on the lactam nitrogen demonstrate activity against lipo-oligosaccharide-containing bacteria, specifically Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb). The focus of my research is to create a library of derivatives of these lactams with methoxy groups attached at various positions of the aromatic ring at C4 in-order to evaluate their activity against the aforementioned bacteria. SAR of these ether-containing-lactams against Mtb will be discussed.
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CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

The discovery of penicillin against the growth of infectious bacteria by Alexander Fleming was the gateway to the innovation of β-lactam antibiotics.\textsuperscript{14,6} A vast number of different β-lactams have been developed, which share a common core structure, the β-lactam ring. These include penicillins, cephalosporins, monobactams, carbapenems and penems (Fig. 1).\textsuperscript{16}

![Chemical structures of β-lactam antibiotics](image)

**Figure 1:** Existing lactam antibiotics.

The strain in the β-lactam four-membered ring increases the electrophilicity of the lactam carbonyl thereby increasing the amide’s reactivity toward hydrolysis. The β-lactams shown in Fig.1 have antibacterial activities and presently remain one of the most widely
used set of antibiotics because of their effectiveness, low cost, ease of delivery and limited side effects.

β-Lactam antibiotics inactivate the enzyme, peptidoglycan D,D-transpeptidase, essential for bacterial growth. This enzyme catalyzes the cross-linking of peptidoglycan, which is necessary for the formation of the bacterial cell wall. Peptidoglycan is a branched polymer of alternating β-D-N-acetylglucosamine (NAG) and β-D-N-acetylmuramic acid (NAM) residues. The terminal D-alanyl-D-alanine of the NAM side chain binds to the D,D-transpeptidase, which acts as a serine protease to make a serine ester. Cross-linking this ester to another peptidoglycan strand assembles the bacterial cell wall. β-Lactams mimic the D-alanyl-D-alanine side of the peptidoglycan, acting as suicide substrates of the D,D-transpeptidase.

β-lactam antibiotics have been very beneficial in treating infectious diseases over the years. However, the emergence of bacterial resistance has become a major problem with antibiotic treatment. The mechanisms of resistance of the β-lactams originate from altered penicillin binding proteins, efflux pumps and β-lactamases. β-lactam antibiotics’ target are the penicillin binding proteins (PBP) and bind to PBPs due to the similarity in their chemical structure to the extremities that form peptidoglycan. When they irreversibly bind to penicillin, the β-lactam amide bond is hydrolyzed to form a covalent bond with the serine residue at the PBPs active site. Modification and alteration of PBPs by β-lactam resistant cell wall transpeptidation causes resistance as there becomes a decrease in the binding affinities for β-lactam antibiotics to execute their
bacteriostatic effect.\textsuperscript{1,16} Recently, evidence suggests that the L,D transpeptidases are a possible target of resistance to the lactam antibiotics.\textsuperscript{5,8,11}

Overcoming PBP-mediated resistance remains a challenge; therefore there is a need to find inhibitors of altered PBP’s.\textsuperscript{16} Efflux mechanisms have also been recognized as a means of resistance to β-lactams. Efflux pumps are transport proteins that eject toxic substrates, including antibiotics, from within the cell. Bacteria contain up-regulating pumps that emit antibiotics from the cell, thereby decreasing the drug’s access to the bacterial target.\textsuperscript{2,16} The third mechanism, a major cause for resistance, is the production of β-lactamases. This is by far the main type of resistance towards β-lactams.\textsuperscript{4,5,7,16} β-Lactamases hydrolize the β-lactam ring, before it reaches its target – the PBPs. One strategy to avoid degradation from β-lactamases is to synthesize β-lactams to overcome resistance from being destroyed by β-lactamases. The latter has no antibiotic activity but is used to protect β-lactams from being destroyed prior to reaching the appropriate target site and killing the bacteria.\textsuperscript{4} A combination of β-lactam antibiotics with a β-lactamase inhibitor is currently a temporary solution to resistance against β-lactamases. An example of a current drug synergy is combining meropenem, a β-lactam, with clavulanate, a β-lactamase inhibitor, in treating \textit{Mycobacterium tuberculosis} (Mtb, the causative agent of tuberculosis).\textsuperscript{4,10} Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) results show potent activity against laboratory strains of Mtb to be less than 1 microgram per milliliter.\textsuperscript{4} Monocyclic β-lactam antibiotics (fig. 1) are also potent in inhibiting bacteria. For example, aztreonam, a monocyclic β-lactam is currently used in clinics against gram-negative bacteria.\textsuperscript{16}
Extensive research has been done to determine the potential efficacy of monocyclic β-lactams as antimicrobial therapy. In addition, recent discoveries have shown other biological properties of these compounds apart from their antibacterial action.\(^1\) These specific β-lactams are used as inhibitors of a range of enzymes containing a catalytic serine residue\(^1\) including serine proteases\(^7,\)\(^12\), such as human leukocyte elastase (HLE), an enzyme involved in the degradation of structural proteins such as collagen and elastin\(^7,\)\(^12\) and as acyl-CoA cholesterol acyltransferase inhibitors and inhibitors of human cytomegalovirus protease.\(^1\) There is a need for new antimicrobials to combat disease and restore drug activity in spite of resistant microorganisms.

**Objective**

Research in our group has shown that fluorinated thiophenols in the *ortho* position of the aromatic ring at the C4 of the lactam and having a carbamyl group at the lactam nitrogen (N1) as shown in Scheme 1 demonstrate a promising activity against lipo-oligosaccharide-containing bacteria, specifically *Moraxella catharralis* (M.cat) and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mtb).

**Scheme 1:** Synthesis of fluorinated and methoxylated β-lactams
There was an interest as to how similar compounds like the ones described in Scheme 1 with an electron donating group as a substituent on the thiophenol will affect the drug’s potency against Mtb. The focus of my research project was to synthesize a library of methoxy-substituted β-lactam derivatives (Scheme 2), where the methoxy group is attached at the ortho-, meta-, para- as well as di-substituted methoxy derivatives of the aromatic ring at C4. These compounds have been prepared as racemates and as pure isomers\(^{15}\) (diastereomers, Scheme 3) in order to evaluate their activity against the aforementioned bacteria and test the hypothesis of whether a methoxy group would inhibit the growth of bacterial strains, Mtb. The structure activity relationships (SAR) of these ether-containing lactams against Mtb will be discussed.
CHAPTER 2
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration

Novel methoxy derivatives of monocyclic $\beta$-lactams have been designed, synthesized, and tested using the H37Rv bacterial strain of Mtb, with penicillin (100 $\mu$g/mL) as the standard. Testing against the strain of Mtb was done by the Tuberculosis Research Section, LCID, NIAID at the National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD. Several of these compounds, 8, 14 and 15 (scheme 2 and 3) with minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of 50, 25 and 12.5 $\mu$g/mL, respectively (Table 1), have shown activity against serine $\beta$-lactamase producing Mycobacterium tuberculosis wild type strain (Mtb).

Reagents and conditions: (a) $\text{NaHCO}_3$ in acetone/water, RT, 5h, \textit{YIELD}; (b) Triethylamine in methylene chloride, RT, 12 hours, \textit{YIELD}
Scheme 2: Synthesis of methoxy substituted derivatives of \( \beta \)-lactam.

Reagents and conditions: (a) Et\(_3\)N in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), RT, 12h, **YIELD**

Scheme 3: Synthesis of pure isomeric methoxy substituted derivatives of \( \beta \)-lactam.

The methoxy-substituted \( \beta \)-lactams were prepared both as racemates (Scheme 2) and as pure isomers (Scheme 3) which were then tested for their Mtb activity in the absence of clavulanic acid, a \( \beta \)-lactamase inhibitor. Previous results have demonstrated that the activity of our lactams is not altered by the presence of clavulanic acid, which suggests that these compounds are not hydrolized by \( \beta \)-lactamases, the major cause of bacterial resistance. Therefore, my compounds were not tested in the presence of clavulanic acid.

Compounds 14 and 15, diastereomers of 2 and 6 lactams, respectively, are the most active \( \beta \)-lactams against *M. tuberculosis*, from the methoxy-substituted \( \beta \)-lactam library. The MICs of the racemic mixtures of lactams, 2 and 6 against Mtb are 125-250
μg/mL and 125 μg/mL, respectively, whereas, the activities of 14 and 15 lower with 25 μg/mL and 12.5 μg/mL respectively (Table 1). Compounds 14 and 15 have a S-methyl group on the benzylic carbon of the carbamyl group at N1 and a methoxy group at the ortho- (14) and para- (15) positions at the phenyl ring at C4 (Scheme 3). The MIC data of lactams 14 and 15 suggest that the diastereomers having a S-methyl group at the benzylic carbamyl carbon improves the activity of these type of compounds at least five to ten-fold as compared to their racemic counterparts – lactams 2 and 6. The effect of the presence of R-methyl group at the benzylic carbamyl carbon, compounds 11, 12 and 17 is to be determined. The presence of a methoxy group at the meta- position of the arylthio group at C4 does not appear to improve the activity of the compounds, e.g. the activities of lactam 3 and lactam 4. As mentioned earlier, the most active compounds tested so far are lactams 14 and 15.

Prior to the attachment of the isomeric substituents, compounds without a substituent (Scheme 2) at the lactam nitrogen (1, 3, 5, 7, 9) and those with the racemic substituent, benzyl isocyanate (2, 4, 6, 8, 10) at the lactam nitrogen were tested against Mtb. The better activities for the most part were seen in the racemic compounds with the benzyl isocyanate substituent. This result confirms the hypothesis that the MICs of these compounds are lower when in their respective isomeric forms. Based on the antimicrobial data in table 1, it is conceivable to expect that the di-substituted methoxy compounds, 8 and 10, will have improved MICs when the β-lactam nitrogen is carbamylated with the chiral benzyl isocyanate.
Conclusion

In summary, 16 different lactams of methoxy-derivatives have been prepared, of which, all showed promising activities against H37Rv strains of Mtb bacteria. The compounds with the lowest MICs are 14 and 15. The mechanism of action and molecular target of the methoxy synthesized β-lactams, having activity against β-lactamase producing Mtb strains, could be different from the current β-lactams used for bacterial therapy (Fig. 1) based on the fact that they are not hydrolyzed by β-lactamases as in the case with the aforementioned lactams in figure 1. Current studies in our laboratories are directed towards a better understanding of the structure-activity relationships and the molecular target of these promising new anti-bacterial β-lactam compounds.
CHAPTER 3
Experimental
Equipment and Materials

All reagents and solvents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without any further purification or distillation. All other starting materials were purchased from Acros, Aldrich, and Fisher. Unless otherwise noted, reaction mixtures were magnetically stirred and reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) using glass-backed sorbent layer, 250µm (0.25 mm) analytical TLC plates coated with silica gel 60 with F254 indicator. TLC preparation plates (silica G prep TLC plates with UV254 glass backed, 500 µm – 20 x 20 cm) were used to purify and separate diastereomers. The chromatograms were visualized under ultraviolet light and/or by staining with an iodine chamber. All solvents were evaporated using the Buchi rotavapor R-205 with an attached Buchi heating bath B-490. $^1$H NMR spectra were recorded using Bruker 400 MHz instrument at 400 MHz in CDCl$_3$. Chemical shifts are reported in $\delta$ (ppm) units (downfield to $\delta_{\text{TMS}} = 0$ ppm), with the signal multiplicity ($s =$ singlet, $d =$ doublet, $t =$ triplet, $q =$ quartet, $m =$ multiplet, brs $=$ broad) by reference to Me$_4$Si with coupling constants (J in Hz) and integration area in parentheses. $^{13}$C NMR spectra were recorded using Bruker 400 MHz instrument at 125 MHz in CDCl$_3$. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded using Shimadzu FTIR – 8300 instrument. All Melting Points are

**Reaction and Synthesis**

General procedure for the preparation of methoxy-phenyl sulfanyl)-azetidin-2-one derivatives (Compounds 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 from Scheme 2)

All general procedures for the preparation of Methoxy-phenyl sulfanyl)-azetidin-2-one derivatives were adopted from Wasserman et al. and modified to suit our purposes. 0.500 g of 4-acetoxy-2-azetidinone (1.0 mol. equivalent) was added to a solution of 2-methoxythophenol (0.559 g) in acetone (25 mL), and water (15 mL). After stirring for a minute, 4.0 molar equivalence of NaHCO₃ (1.30 g) was added. The reaction was stirred for five hours at room temperature, after which, a teaspoon of rock salt was added and stirred for another minute. The mixture was filtered using a whatman-grade 595 1/2 prefolded, diam. 90 mm filter paper and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried with magnesium sulfate (a teaspoon, MgSO₄), and concentrated in vacuo via a rotavaporator.

**Compound 1:** The crude product was purified by recrystallization overnight with 15 mL hexane after being dissolved in 10 mL of ethyl acetate to give a white solid (a 87% yield) with a melting point of 106 – 107°. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δH 3.80 (3H, s); 2.93 (1H, dq, J=1.36, 1.41, 11.78), 3.28 (1H, ddd, J=1.82, 1.81, 8.53); 4.86 (1H, dd, J=2.23, 2.60); 6.75 (1H, brs); 7.43-6.88 (4H, m). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 44.99, 54.90, 55.54, 114.96, 120.82, 136.93, 160.74, 166.56. IR (neat) νₘₐₓ, C=O; 1760 cm⁻¹. 

*Anal. caled for C₁₀H₁₁NO₂S; C, 57.39; H, 5.30; N, 6.69. Found: C, 57.37; H, 5.19; N, 6.67.*
Compound 3: Purification of the crude product (yellow oil with a 75 % yield) was not needed. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$H 3.81 (3H, s); 2.91 (1H, dd, J=1.46, 13.75), 3.39 (1H, ddd, J=1.01, 1.27, 9.00); 5.03 (1H, dd, J=2.31, 2.64); 6.07 (1H, brs); 7.29-6.87 (4H, m). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 45.41, 54.22, 55.46, 114.22, 118.24, 125.02, 130.30, 133.17, 160.01, 169.99. IR (neat) $\nu_{\max}$, C=O; 1756 cm$^{-1}$. Anal. calcd for C$_{10}$H$_{11}$NO$_2$S; C, 57.39; H, 5.30; N, 6.69. Found: C, 57.25; H, 5.34; N, 6.52.

Compound 5: The crude product was purified by recrystallization overnight with 10 mL of hexane after being dissolved in 5 mL of ethyl acetate to give a white solid with a 57 % yield and a melting point of 83 - 85$^\circ$. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$H 3.89 (3H, s); 2.93 (1H, dt, J=1.89, 11.44), 3.37 (1H, ddd, J=1.45, 1.45, 8.82); 4.97 (1H, dd, J=2.30, 2.62); 6.81 (1H, brs); 7.40-6.86 (4H, m). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 45.87, 54.06, 56.06, 111.47, 121.44, 130.47, 134.93, 159.16, 169.69. IR (neat) $\nu_{\max}$, C=O; 1756 cm$^{-1}$. Anal. calcd for C$_{10}$H$_{11}$NO$_2$S; C, 57.39; H, 5.30; N, 6.69. Found: C, 57.20; H, 5.22; N, 6.58.

Compound 7: White solid with a 51 % yield and a melting point of 116 - 118$^\circ$.

The crude product was purified by recrystallization overnight with 15 mL of hexane after being dissolved in 10 mL of ethyl acetate. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$H 3.86 (3H, s), 3.78 (3H, s); 2.98 (1H, dt, J=2.04, 11.17), 3.41 (1H, ddd J=1.41, 1.40, 8.87); 5.01 (1H, dd, J=2.34, 2.61); 6.46 (1H, brs); 7.28-6.87 (4H, m). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 45.73, 53.88, 55.83, 56.44, 112.22, 114.78, 120.13, 120.93, 153.19, 153.59, 166.26. IR (neat) $\nu_{\max}$, C=O; 1760 cm$^{-1}$. Anal. calcd for C$_{11}$H$_{13}$NO$_3$S; C, 55.21; H, 5.48; N, 5.85. Found: C, 54.92; H, 5.36; N, 5.81.
Compound 9: White powder with a 63 % yield 0.876 g and a melting point of 79 -
80°. The crude product was purified by recrystallization overnight with 15 mL of hexane
after being dissolved in a 10 mL of ethyl acetate. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta_H 3.68\)
(3H, s), 3.67 (3H, s); 2.64 (1H, dq, J=1.40, 1.41, 11.60), 3.10 (1H, ddd J=1.86, 1.92,
8.38); 4.71 (1H, dd, J=2.28, 2.64); 6.36 (1H, brs); 7.07-6.34 (4H, m). \(^1^3\)C NMR (125
MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta 14.19, 21.06, 44.89, 54.78, 55.91, 56.02, 60.41, 111.59, 117.74, 121.20,
128.05, 149.15, 150.12, 166.21, 171.20. IR (neat) \(\nu_{max}\), C=O; 1760 cm\(^{-1}\). Anal. calcd for
C\(_{11}\)H\(_{13}\)NO\(_3\)S; C, 55.21; H, 5.48; N, 5.85. Found: C, 55.03; H, 5.40; N, 5.78.

General procedures for the methoxy-phenyl sulfanyl-4-oxo-azetidine-1-carboxylic acid
benzylamide derivatives (Compounds 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 from Scheme 2)

All general procedures for the methoxy-phenyl sulfanyl-4-oxo-azetidine-1-carboxylic acid
benzylamide derivatives were adopted from Mulchande et al. and
modified to suit our purposes. 3.5 mmol equivalent of 1 (0.43 g) was added to a solution
of benzyl isocyanate (0.305 mL) in dimethylchloride (8 mL). After stirring for a minute
on a stir plate, 4.2 mmol equivalence of triethylamine (0.344 mL) was added and left to
stir overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was evaporated under vacuum.

Compound 2: Prepared from lactam 1 to yield 90 % with a melting point of 105 -
107°. The crude product was purified via recrystallization overnight with 10 mL of
hexane after being dissolved in 8 mL of ethyl acetate. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta_H 3.86\)
(3H, s); 2.94 (1H, dd, J=2.62, 13.75), 3.40 (1H, dd, J=5.62, 10.71); 5.38 (1H, dd,
J=2.62, 2.96); 7.55-6.81 (4H, m). \(^1^3\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta 43.65, 43.94, 55.81,
111.22, 117.37, 121.25, 127.64, 127.75, 128.74, 131.25, 137.28, 137.88, 149.61, 159.93,
165.70. IR (neat) $\nu_{\max}$, C=O; 1627 cm$^{-1}$, 1576 cm$^{-1}$. Anal. calcd for C$_{18}$H$_{18}$N$_2$O$_3$S; C, 63.14; H, 5.30; N, 8.18. Found: C, 63.40; H, 5.28; N, 8.32.

Compound 4: Synthesized using 3 to produce a colorless oil with a 57 % yield. The reaction mixture was purified via a flash column chromatography with a ratio gradient of 3:1 hexane and dichloromethane (TLC mobile phase was 100% dicloromethane). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$H 3.68 (3H, s); 2.78 (1H, dt, J=1.32, 13.74), 3.22 (1H, dd, J=1.84, 3.09); 5.19 (1H, dd, J=2.70, 2.93); 7.25-6.74 (4H, m). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 43.69, 44.01, 55.35, 56.60, 115.34, 120.01, 127.13, 127.74, 128.78, 130.04, 130.47, 137.79, 149.63, 159.87, 165.53. IR (neat) $\nu_{\max}$, C=O; 1775 cm$^{-1}$, 1700 cm$^{-1}$. Anal. calcd for C$_{18}$H$_{18}$N$_2$O$_3$S; C, 63.14; H, 5.30; N, 8.18. Found: C, 63.57; H, 5.51; N, 8.05.

Compound 6: Reaction using compound 5 produced a white powder with a 58 % yield and a melting point of 105 - 110°. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$H 3.82 (3H, s); 2.86 (1H, dd, J=2.67, 13.65), 3.36 (1H, dd, J=5.61, 10.69); 5.21 (1H, dd, J=2.65, 2.91); 7.48-6.84 (4H, m). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 43.39, 43.64, 55.35, 56.72, 114.83, 118.74, 127.67, 127.78, 128.76, 137.95, 194.66, 160.88, 165.53. IR (neat) $\nu_{\max}$, C=O; 1775 cm$^{-1}$, 1700 cm$^{-1}$. Anal. calcd for C$_{18}$H$_{18}$N$_2$O$_3$S; C, 63.14; H, 5.30; N, 8.18. Found: C, 63.34; H, 5.13; N, 8.18.

Compound 8: A white solid prepared from 7 with an 88 % yield and a melting point of 87.5 – 88.5°. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$H 3.82 (3H, s); 2.86 (1H, dd, J=2.67, 13.65), 3.36 (1H, dd, J=5.61, 10.69); 5.21 (1H, dd, J=2.65, 2.91); 7.48-6.84 (4H, m). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 43.67, 44.04, 55.77, 56.35, 112.16, 116.30, 121.90, 127.49,
127.64, 127.74, 128.63, 128.75. IR (neat) $\nu_{\text{max}}$, C=O; 1775 cm$^{-1}$, 1705 cm$^{-1}$. Anal. calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{20}$N$_{2}$O$_{4}$S; C, 61.27; H, 5.41; N, 7.52. Found: C, 61.04; H, 5.39; N, 7.47.

Compound 10: Clear oil prepared from 9 with a 63 % yield. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$H 3.82 (3H, s); 2.86 (1H, dd, J=2.67, 13.65), 3.36 (1H, dd, J=5.61, 10.69); 5.21 (1H, dd, J=2.65, 2.91); 7.48-6.84 (4H, m). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 43.37, 43.58, 55.88, 56.74, 111.37, 118.77, 118.98, 119.13, 127.64, 127.75, 128.73, 129.29, 137.93, 149.03, 149.68, 150.38, 165.59. IR (neat) $\nu_{\text{max}}$, C=O; 1775 cm$^{-1}$, 1705 cm$^{-1}$. Anal. calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{20}$N$_{2}$O$_{4}$S; C, 61.27; H, 5.41; N, 7.52. Found: C, 61.54; H, 5.56; N, 7.43.

General procedure for methoxy-phenyl sulfanyl-4-oxo-azetidine-1-carboxylic acid benzylamide derivatives as pure isomers (Compounds 11 and 12 from Scheme 3)

3.5 mmol equivalent of compound 1 (0.498 g) was added to a solution of R-(+-)$\alpha$-methyl benzyl isocyanate (0.403 mL) in dimethylchloride (5 mL). After stirring for a minute, 4.2 mmol equivalence of triethylamine (0.398 mL) was added and left to stir overnight at room temperature. The yellow viscous reaction mixture was evaporated under vacuum to yield 0.340 g and purified via thin layer chromatography on a silica gel prep plate (Hexane/EtoAc 3:1) to yield compound 11 (46 %, top layer) and compound 12 (50 %, bottom layer).

Compound 11: Top layer (diastereomer 1) from compound 1. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$H $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$H 3.75 (3H, s); 1.53 (1H, d, J= 6.95); 2.92 (1H, dd, J=2.61, 13.73), 3.39 (1H, dd, J=5.61, 10.73); 5.11 (1H, quin, J= 7.11, 7.41); 5.34 (1H, q, J=2.64, 2.64); 7.42-6.75 (9H, m). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 22.63, 44.10, 49.46, 55.80, 55.85, 60.44, 111.19, 117.76, 121.26, 126.02, 127.45, 128.71, 131.12, 136.96,
137.66, 142.96, 148.77, 159.80, 165.81. IR (neat) $\nu_{\text{max}}$, C=O; 1775 cm$^{-1}$, 1705 cm$^{-1}$. Anal. calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{20}$N$_2$O$_3$S; C, 64.02; H, 5.66; N, 7.86. Found: C, 64.10; H, 5.66; N, 7.77.

Compound 12: Bottom layer (diastereomer 2) from compound 1. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$H 3.75 (3H, s); 1.53 (1H, d, J= 6.95); 2.92 (1H, dd, J=2.61, 13.73), 3.39 (1H, dd, J=5.61, 10.73); 5.11 (1H, quin, J= 7.11, 7.41); 5.34 (1H, q, J=2.64, 2.64); 7.42-6.75 (9H, m). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$14.24, 43.81, 49.31, 55.72, 55.79, 60.43, 111.21, 116.90, 121.20, 126.17, 127.49, 128.73, 131.33, 137.65, 143.00, 148.76, 160.10, 165.77. IR (neat) $\nu_{\text{max}}$, C=O; 1775 cm$^{-1}$, 1705 cm$^{-1}$. Anal. calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{20}$N$_2$O$_3$S; C, 64.02; H, 5.66; N, 7.86. Found: C, 63.30; H, 5.75; N, 7.61.

General procedure for methoxy-phenyl sulfanyl-4-oxo-azetidine-1-carboxylic acid benzylamide derivatives as pure isomers (Compounds 13 and 14 from Scheme 3)

3.5 mmol equivalent of compound 1 (0.498 g) was added to a solution of S-(+)-$\alpha$-methyl benzyl isocyanate (0.403 mL) in dimethylchloride (5 mL). After stirring for a minute, 4.2 mmol equivalence of triethylamine (0.398 mL) was added and left to stir overnight at room temperature. The yellow oil reaction mixture was evaporated under vacuum to yield 0.327 g. The crude product was purified via thin layer chromatography on a silica gel prep plate (Hexane/EtoAc 8:1) to yield 13 (34 %, top layer) and 14 (56 %, bottom layer).

Compound 13: Top layer (diastereomer 1) from compound 1. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$H 3.81 (3H, s); 1.53 (1H, d, J= 6.93); 2.86 (1H, dd, J=2.59, 13.76), 3.29 (1H, dd, J=5.61, 10.73); 5.00 (1H, quin, J= 7.09, 7.38); 5.23 (1H, q, J=2.68, 2.60); 7.49-6.68 (9H, m). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$22.65, 44.09, 49.47, 55.79, 55.85, 111.22, 117.76, 121.27, 126.02, 127.46, 128.72, 131.12, 136.93, 142.99, 148.78, 159.80, 165.81.
IR (neat) $\nu_{\text{max}}$, C=O; 1775 cm$^{-1}$, 1705 cm$^{-1}$. Anal. calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{20}$N$_{2}$O$_{3}$S; C, 64.02; H, 5.66; N, 7.86. Found: C, 64.23; H, 5.60; N, 7.71.

**Compound 14:** Bottom layer (diastereomer 2) from compound 1. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$H 3.74 (3H, s); 1.53 (1H, d, $J = 6.96$); 2.92 (1H, dd, $J = 2.63$, 13.71); 3.38 (1H, dd, $J = 5.59$, 10.73); 5.10 (1H, quin, $J = 7.11$, 7.39); 5.34 (1H, q, $J = 2.96$, 2.59); 7.42-6.75 (9H, m). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 22.63, 44.10, 49.46, 55.80, 55.85, 60.44, 111.19, 117.76, 121.26, 126.02, 127.45, 128.71, 131.12, 136.96, 137.66, 142.96, 148.77, 159.80, 165.81. IR (neat) $\nu_{\text{max}}$, C=O; 1775 cm$^{-1}$, 1703 cm$^{-1}$. Anal. calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{20}$N$_{2}$O$_{3}$S; C, 64.02; H, 5.66; N, 7.71. Found: C, 64.61; H, 5.88; N, 7.96.

**Compounds 15 and 16**

General procedures for the preparation of compounds 15 and 16 were adopted from the abovementioned “General procedure for methoxy-phenyl sulfanyl-4-oxo-azetidine-1-carboxylic acid benzylamide derivatives as pure isomers,” using compounds 13, and 5, respectively. The reaction mixture was evaporated under vacuum to yield 0.428 g. The crude product was purified via thin layer chromatography on a silica gel prep plate (Hexane/EtoAc 3:1) to yield 15 (66 %, top layer) and 16 (51 %, bottom layer).

**Compound 15:** Top layer (diastereomer 1) from compound 5. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$H 3.84 (3H, s); 1.59 (1H, d, $J = 6.97$); 2.86 (1H, dd, $J = 2.72$, 13.60); 3.34 (1H, dd, $J = 5.60$, 10.72); 5.12 (1H, sex, $J = 5.03$, 5.43); 5.15 (1H, q, $J = 2.80$, 2.57); 7.53-6.90 (9H, m). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 22.62, 43.52, 50.03, 55.03, 55.38, 56.86, 114.85, 119.23, 126.05, 127.50, 128.74, 137.74, 142.88, 148.83, 160.85, 165.66. IR (neat) $\nu_{\text{max}}$, C=O; 1775 cm$^{-1}$, 1703 cm$^{-1}$. Anal. calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{20}$N$_{2}$O$_{3}$S; C, 64.02; H, 5.66; N, 7.86. Found: C, 64.19; H, 5.82; N, 7.74.
Compound **16**: Bottom layer (diastereomer 2) from compound **5**. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta_H$ 3.80 (3H, s); 1.54 (1H, d, $J=6.98$); 2.83 (1H, dd, $J=2.65, 13.64$), 3.34 (1H, dd, $J=5.55, 10.74$); 6.0 (1H, sex, $J=5.29, 5.59$); 5.18 (1H, q, $J=2.53, 2.76$); 7.45-6.74 (9H, m). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 22.35, 43.29, 49.30, 55.33, 56.45, 114.77, 118.19, 119.23, 126.16, 127.52, 128.74, 138.13, 143.16, 148.82, 160.88, 165.57. IR (neat) $\nu_{\text{max}}$, C=O; 1774 cm$^{-1}$, 1705 cm$^{-1}$. Anal. calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{20}$N$_2$O$_3$S; C, 64.02; H, 5.66; N, 7.86. Found: C, 63.54; H, 5.71; N, 7.13.
Table 1. Minimum inhibitory concentration of methoxy-derivative compounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Mtb H37Rv μg/mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt;250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>125-250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>&gt;250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>&gt;250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ND: Not determined.*
APPENDIX A

$^1$H-NMR SPECTRUM OF COMPOUNDS
FIGURE 2: 1H-NMR spectrum of compound 1.

2-OCH₃ + Blac Pure Crystal
FIGURE 3: $^1$H-NMR Spectrum of compound 2.
FIGURE 4: $^1$H-NMR Spectrum of compound 3.
FIGURE 5: $^1$H-NMR Spectrum of compound 4.
FIGURE 6: 1H-NMR Spectrum of compound 5.

4-Methoxythiophenol on B-lac Crystals
FIGURE 7: $^1$H-NMR Spectrum of compound 6.

4-OCH$_3$ + Blac + Tail
FIGURE 8. 1H-NMR Spectrum of compound 7.

2,5 Di-OCH3 + Blac

Current Data Parameters
NAME  Feb10-2011-JM
EXPGN  2
PROCNO  1

F2 - Acquisition Parameters
Date_  20130210
Time  14:07
INSTRUM  spect
PROCNUM  5 mm BBO BB-LH
PULPROG  zg30
TD  65336
SOLVENT  CDC13
NS  16
DS  2
SMH  8250.825 Hz
FDARGS  0.12585 Hz
AQ  3.971992 sec
RG  161.3
DW  60.620 usec
DE  6.00 usec
JE  681.2 Hz
D1  1.00000000 sec
MCREST  0.000000 sec
MCWIR  0.000000 sec

--- CHANNEL F1 ---
NUC1  1H
F1  10.00 usec
PL1  0.00 dB
SPC1  400.1324710 MHz

F2 - Processing parameters
SI  32768
SP  400.1300000 MHz
WDW  2M
SSB  0
LB  0.30 Hz
CE  0
PC  1.00

Current Data Parameters
NAME: Feb23-2011-JM
EXPNO: 1
PROCNO: 1

F2 - Acquisition Parameters
Date: 20110223
Time: 18:18
TESTRUN: spect
PROCWD: 5 mm BBO B8-1H
POLPROG: zg30
TD: 65536
SOLVENT: CDCl3
NS: 16
DS: 2
SNR: 8250.825 Hz
F1RFS: 0.125898 Hz
AQ: 3.971922 sec
PG: 322.5
DN: 60.600 usec
DE: 6.00 usec
TE: 683.2 K
DI: 1.000000000000000 sec
MCREST: 0.000000000000000 sec
MCWRR: 0.000000000000000 sec

--------------- CHANNEL f1 ---------------
NUC1: 1H
P1: 10.00 usec
PLL1: 0.00 dB
SFO1: 400.1324710 MHz

F2 - Processing parameters
SI: 32768
SF: 400.1390000000 Hz
WDW: EM
SSB: 0
LB: 0.30 Hz
GB: 0
FC: 1.00
FIGURE 10: 1H-NMR Spectrum of compound 9.
Figure 11: H-NMR Spectrum of compound 10.
FIGURE 12: H-NMR Spectrum of compound 11.
FIGURE 13: $^1$H-NMR Spectrum of compound 1.
FIGURE 14: $^1$H-NMR Spectrum of compound 13.

2-OCH3 + S-Tail (layer 2)
FIGURE 15: $^1$H-NMR Spectrum of compound 14.
4 + S-tail_top layer

FIGURE 16: H-NMR Spectrum of compound 15.
FIGURE 17: $^1$H-NMR Spectrum of compound 16.
APPENDIX B

$^{13}$C-NMR SPECTRUM OF COMPOUNDS
FIGURE 18: $^{13}$C-NMR Spectrum of compound 1.
FIGURE 19: 1C-NMR Spectrum of compound 2.
FIGURE 20: 13C-NMR Spectrum of compound 3.
FIGURE 21: $^{13}$C-NMR Spectrum of compound 4.
FIGURE 22: 13C-NMR Spectrum of compound 5.
FIGURE 23. $^{13}$C-NMR Spectrum of compound 6.
FIGURE 24: $^{13}$C-NMR Spectrum of compound 7.
FIGURE 25: $^{13}$C-NMR Spectrum of compound 8.
FIGURE 26: $^1$C-NMR Spectrum of compound 9.
FIGURE 27. 13C-NMR Spectrum of compound 10.
FIGURE 28: $^{13}$C-NMR Spectrum of compound 11.
FIGURE 29: $^{13}$C-NMR Spectrum of compound 12.
FIGURE 30: $^{13}$C-NMR Spectrum of compound 13.
FIGURE 31: $^{13}$C-NMR Spectrum of compound 14.
FIGURE 32: $^{13}$C-NMR Spectrum of compound 15.
FIGURE 33: $^{13}$C-NMR Spectrum of compound 16.
APPENDIX C

FT-IR SPECTRUM OF COMPOUNDS
FIGURE 34: FT-IR Spectrum of compound 1.
FIGURE 35: FT-IR Spectrum of compound 2.
FIGURE 36: FT-IR Spectrum of compound 3.
FIGURE 37: FT-IR Spectrum of compound 4.
FIGURE 38: FT-IR Spectrum of compound 5.
FIGURE 40: FT-IR Spectrum of compound 7.
FIGURE 41: FT-IR Spectrum of compound 8.
FIGURE 43: FT-IR Spectrum of compound 10.
FIGURE 44: FT-IR Spectrum of compound 11.
FIGURE 45: FT-IR Spectrum of compound 12.
FIGURE 47: FT-IR Spectrum of compound 14.
FIGURE 48: FT-IR Spectrum of compound 15.
FIGURE 49: FT-IR Spectrum of compound 16.
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